CONSERVATION OF THE NATURAL FOREST IN NIGERIA: A CASE STUDY OF THE LEKKI CONSERVATION CENTRE

Group B: The Natural Landscape of Nigeria

Our study objective Lekki conservation centre Methodology- what we did **Environmental benefits Economical benefits** Social benefits LEKKI CONSERV. discussion conclusion

Our study objective

- Investigate and evaluate the growing condition of the forest area
- Evaluate the conservation strategy of the forest in the case study

Lekki conservation centre

- Founded in 1990
- Funded by Chevron as a social responsibility of their pollution
- Decision makers: NGOs
- Mission statements
 - Preserve all the Nigeria's biodiversity
 - Promote sustainable use of natural resources
 - Advocate actions that minimize pollution

Methodology

Observation of the forest

- Canopy structure
- Crown height/width
- Succession status
- Signs of dynamism (forest gap, microhabitat, climber species, litter degradation, reproduction, fauna)
- Soil
- Human intervention
- Additional information obtained from employees in the centre

Environmental benefits

- Highly-protected area prohibiting any human disturbance
- Continued funding to support conservation and maintenance
- Planting of rare trees, re-introduction of species

□ Growing condition

- Secondary succession in developmental stage
- Yet to reach mature height
- Distinct canopy layers and understory
- Various signs of dynamism
- Standing litter and decomposing litter
- Wildlife (monkeys, small mammals, birds, deers etc)



















Economic benefits

- Continued funding loop for maintenance
 - Fixed funding from Chevron
 - Earning from entrance fees and annual passes
- Future uses
 - Open for controlled exploitation (logging, palm oil collection)
 - possible cures to diseases

Social benefits

- Promote environmental education in primary and secondary schools
- Provided resources to local community (free water and access for resources)
- Hiring of local citizens for workers in the centre
- Providing facilities for local activities

Discussion

Environmental

- Successful rehabilitation of the area
- Dynamic and stable ecosystem
- Swamps as a carbon sink
- Insufficient research on existing species hence lack of a comprehensive database \rightarrow difficulty in conservation

Discussion

🗆 Economic

Continued funding and income from entrance fees

- 🗆 Social
 - Insufficient income level for employees to maintain livelihood
 - Insufficient involvement of local community in the decision making process
 - The conserved forest is not a direct compensation to the victims of environmental destruction by oil exploitation

Conclusion

- Great improvement of the forest area
- Can be used as an example of conservation projects in Nigeria under the proposed law of pollution compensation
- Management strategy should base on comprehensive research of the ecosystem